Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,002	SPIVACK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh-Ha Dang	2163
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Thanh-Ha Dang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. Yen Yun Fu (RN 59,141).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>08/10/09</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3-9, 13-16, 18-22, 24-28, 36-56 and 60-63. Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Thanb-Ha Dang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2163	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
A telephone call was made to the Applicant's Representative regarding correction of the status of claims 1, 3-9, 13-16,

18-22, 24-28, 36-56 and 60-63 that were not compliantly presented, and about the potential amendment to the claims 1, 3, 21-22, 25, 28, and 60-63 in order to allow the case and the Applicant's Representative agreed with the

Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's Amendment.

Application No. 10/719,002